QUALITATIVE RESEARCH

MCAST 2nd ANNUAL CONFERENCE IN QUALITATIVE RESEARCH METHODS



Flexibility and unpredictability in participatory research with students as co-researchers

- Anna Maria Gatt Malta College of Arts, Science and Technology
- Dr. Kyriaki Makopoulou School of Sport, Exercise and Rehabilitation Sciences, University of Birmingham
- Prof. Jennifer Cumming School of Sport, Exercise and Rehabilitation Sciences, University of Birmingham











ENGAGING INNOVATIVE KNOWLEDGE THROUGH APPLIED QUALITATIVE RESEARCH

MCAST 2nd ANNUAL CONFERENCE IN QUALITATIVE RESEARCH METHODS









Aims of study PE4W

1. To explore whether and how PE provision within schools can be reimagined to promote wellbeing for all students – PE4W (PE for Wellbeing)

2. To examine the feasibility of a novel participatory research approach, where students co-construct their educational experience with their teachers

Background to study

- Schools are important sites to promote students' wellbeing (OECD, 2018)
- There are ongoing debates about the potential of PE to bring benefits in a range of domains of children's development, (e.g. physical, mental and social)
- But despite this > there is still a general lack of programmes and innovations that target specifically the wellbeing of students through PE.

MCAST 2nd ANNUAL CONFERENCE IN QUALITATIVE RESEARCH METHODS









The Study Phases

	Phase 1	Phase 2	Phase 3	Phase 4
WHO	A group of student-as- researchers, one from each class of the Year 10 cohort in a local state, senior school	(i) Same group of student- researchers (ii) Whole Year 10 cohort	(i) Same group of student- researchers (ii) One Year 10 class chosen conveniently by a PE teacher	(i) Same group of student- researchers (ii) PE teacher
WHAT	i. Trained as researchers ii. Explore the meaning of wellbeing iii. A consensual definition – How do 14–15-year-olds define 'wellbeing'?	Designing, distribution and analysis of a survey to explore perception of wellbeing and PE experiences of all Year 10 students (tbc)	Co-constructing a set of 6 PE lessons based on the survey analysis	Feedback through focus groups Dissemination decision
HOW	ENGAGEMENT	EMPOWERMENT (i) + ENGAGEMENT (ii)	EMPOWERMENT (i) + ENGAGEMENT (ii)	EMPOWERMENT (i) + ENGAGEMENT (ii) = OWNERSHIP

QUALITATIVE RESEARCH

MCAST 2nd ANNUAL CONFERENCE IN QUALITATIVE RESEARCH METHODS









Further explanation of Phase 3

Co-construction of PE lessons and feedback sheets

The content and practices included in the lesson are based on the data collected from the survey.

Lesson delivery by class PE teacher and feedback collected The students who participate in the lessons (a whole class) will fill in a feedback sheet at the end of each lesson (tbc). Primary researcher just as an observer.

Evaluation of lessons and modifications if necessary

Feedback collected might result in the need for modifications. Another coconstruction episode.

MCAST 2nd ANNUAL CONFERENCE IN QUALITATIVE RESEARCH METHODS









Levels of Participation	PE4W Intervention	
Students as Informants	The students answering the survey (Phase 2)	
Students as Respondents	The student-as-researchers during introductory sessions and evaluation sessions. (Phase 1 and 4) The students taking part in the PE lessons (Phase 3)	
Students as Co-researchers	The students-as-researchers during the construction of the survey, the data analysis and the construction of the lessons. (Phase 2 and 3) Students as Co-Owners (Phase 4)	

Framework of Student-as-Researchers Level of Participation (SaRLP) linked to Smit et al's (2020) Matrix of Research Stages

QUALITATIVE RESEARCH

MCAST 2nd ANNUAL CONFERENCE IN QUALITATIVE RESEARCH METHODS









The Researcher's Positionality

- To what extent will unexpected discoveries and insights affect my positionality?
- How ready will I be for this unpredictability especially regarding content and practices?
- How flexible will I be, as the primary researcher, to amend the research focus and the research tools accordingly?

The Students' Degree of Participation

Reluctant students

Non-committed students

Students who take long to develop trust

Slow evolving of relationships

What if I end up with few student-researchers and I won't have representatives from all classes?

What made them withdraw – lack of commitment and / or interest, or maybe the approach implemented did not allow them to feel fully participative?

MCAST 2nd ANNUAL CONFERENCE IN QUALITATIVE RESEARCH METHODS









POWER ISSUES	CONTEXTUAL ISSUES
How will I as the primary researcher strike a	Disruptions
balance amongst the students?	Time-Tabling issues
Value of ALL participants	Outings
, .	Meetings

Flexibility – Task Issues / Organizational Issues / Emotional Issues / Relationship Issues

MCAST 2nd ANNUAL CONFERENCE IN QUALITATIVE RESEARCH METHODS









Participatory Research contributes to VALIDITY and RELEVANCE of research

Participatory Research contributes to the general **WELLBEING** and **ENLIGHTENMENT** of participants

Participatory Research is a **FLOURISHING EXPERIENCE** for all involved and their communities

What's unpredictable can become an enriching learning experience

QUALITATIVE RESEARCH

MCAST 2nd ANNUAL CONFERENCE IN QUALITATIVE RESEARCH METHODS



THANK YOU





